

# COMMENTS ON VALUATIONS ASSOCIATED TO SYSTEMS OF VERTICES/EDGES AND THE MAIN THEOREM OF POP-STIX

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

Updated May 2, 2011

Let  $k$  be an *arbitrary complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic* whose residue characteristic we denote by  $p$ ,  $\bar{k}$  an algebraic closure of  $k$ ,  $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ ,  $\Sigma$  a *set of primes* that contains a prime  $l \neq p$ ,  $X$  a *proper hyperbolic curve* over  $k$ . Suppose, further, that  $k$  is  *$l$ -cyclotomically full*, i.e., that the image of the  $l$ -adic cyclotomic character  $G_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$  is *open* in  $\mathbb{Z}_l^\times$ . Write

$$\Pi_X \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_X^{(\Sigma)}$$

for the *geometrically pro- $\Sigma$  quotient* of the étale fundamental group  $\Pi_X$  of  $X$ . Thus, we have a natural surjection  $\Pi_X^{(\Sigma)} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ . Let

$$\dots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \dots$$

[where  $i$  ranges over the positive integers] be a cofinal system of *finite étale connected Galois coverings of  $X$  with stable reduction* arising from open subgroups of  $\Pi_X^{(\Sigma)}$  and

$$s : G_k \rightarrow \Pi_X^{(\Sigma)}$$

a *section* of  $\Pi_X^{(\Sigma)} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ . Then in the “Comments on a Combinatorial Version of the Section Conjecture and the Main Theorem of Pop-Stix” dated March 3, 2011 (cf. [CbSC], (5)), we showed that

( $*^{v/e}$ ) [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] there exists *either* a [not necessarily unique] *system of vertices*

$$\dots \rightsquigarrow v_{i+1} \rightsquigarrow v_i \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

or a [not necessarily unique] *system of edges*

$$\dots \rightsquigarrow e_{i+1} \rightsquigarrow e_i \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

— i.e., each  $v_i$  (respectively,  $e_i$ ) is an irreducible component (respectively, node) of the special fiber of the stable model  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $X_i$  that is *fixed* by the natural action of the image  $\text{Im}(s)$  of the section  $s$ ; the image of the

irreducible component  $v_{i+1}$  (respectively, node  $e_{i+1}$ ) in  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is contained in the irreducible component  $v_i$  (respectively, node  $e_i$ ).

In the present note, we verify (cf. (1), (2) below), by means of a quite elementary argument in scheme theory/commutative algebra, that

(\*<sup>val</sup>) such a system of vertices or edges determines a *system of valuations* of the function fields  $K_i$  of the  $X_i$  that are *fixed* by the natural action of  $\text{Im}(s)$ .

In particular, we obtain a proof of the *main theorem of Pop-Stix* (cf. [PS]) by means of elementary graph-theoretic and scheme-/ring-theoretic considerations, without resorting to the use of *highly nontrivial arithmetic* results such as Tamagawa's "*resolution of nonsingularities*" [i.e., the main result of [Tama]]. Here, we recall that this result of [Tama] depends, in an essential way, on *highly arithmetic arguments* that require one to take  $\Sigma$  to be the *set of all primes*, as well as on relatively deep *wild ramification* properties of  $p$ -power coverings of  $X$ . In particular, the essential role played by this result in the proof of [PS] has the effect of *portraying the phenomenon discussed in the main theorem of [PS] as being a consequence of such deep arithmetic considerations*. In fact, however, the arguments of the present note imply that

the essential phenomenon discussed in the main theorem of [PS] is [not "arithmetic" or " $p$ -adic", but rather] "*l-adic*" and "*combinatorial*" in nature and may be obtained as a consequence of *quite elementary considerations* concerning *finite group actions on graphs* and *scheme theory/commutative algebra*.

(1) Suppose that one has a *system of vertices*  $\{v_i\}$  as in (\*<sup>v/e</sup>). If [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] each  $v_{i+1}$  maps *quasi-finitely* to  $v_i$ , then the system of valuations associated to the  $v_i$  already yields a system of valuations as desired. Thus, [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume without loss of generality that  $v_{i+1}$  maps to a *closed point*  $x_i$  of  $v_i$ . If [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] the  $x_i$  are all nodes, then we obtain a *system of edges*  $\{e_i\}$  as in (\*<sup>v/e</sup>); this situation will be dealt with in (2) below. Thus, [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume without loss of generality that each  $x_i$  is a *smooth point*. In particular, the local ring  $R_i$  of  $\mathcal{X}_i$  at  $x_i$  is *regular of dimension 2*, hence a *UFD*. Write

$$\text{ord}_i : K_i^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

for the *valuation* associated to  $v_i$ , normalized so as to restrict to a fixed [i.e., independent of  $i$ ], given valuation on  $k$ . Then it follows immediately from the definition of  $x_i$ , together with the fact that  $R_i$  is a *UFD*, that we have

$$\text{ord}_{j'}(f) \geq \text{ord}_j(f) \geq 0$$

for any nonzero  $f \in R_i \subseteq K_i$ ,  $j' \geq j \geq i$ . [Here, we think of the various  $K_i$  as being related to one another via the natural inclusions  $K_i \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_j \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_{j'}$ .] Next, let us observe that it follows immediately from the fact that each  $\text{ord}_j(-)$  is a *valuation* that, if we set  $\text{ord}_j(0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} +\infty$ , then the subset

$$R_i \supseteq I_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in R_i \mid \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}_j(f) = +\infty\}$$

is, in fact, a *prime ideal* of  $R_i$  whose intersection with the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_k \subseteq R_i$  of  $k$  is equal to  $\{0\}$ . In particular, the *height* of  $I_i$  is  $\leq 1$ . If [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] the  $I_i$  are all of height 1, then it follows immediately that  $I_i$  determines a *closed point*  $\xi_i$  of  $X_i$ , and that the system of valuations associated to the  $\xi_i$  yields a system of valuations as desired [indeed, of the “ideal type”, from the point of view of the original Section Conjecture!]. Thus, [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume without loss of generality that each  $I_i$  is of height 0, hence equal to  $\{0\}$ . But this implies that, for  $f \in K_i^\times$ , the quantity

$$\text{ord}_\infty(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}_j(f) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is *well-defined*. Moreover, one verifies immediately that  $\text{ord}_\infty(-)$  determines a *valuation* on  $K_i$  that is *fixed* by the action of  $\text{Im}(s)$ . In particular, one obtains a system of valuations as desired.

(2) Suppose that one has a *system of edges*  $\{e_i\}$  as in  $(*^{v/e})$ . Write  $\mathcal{X}_i^{\log}$  for the *regular log scheme* whose underlying scheme is  $\mathcal{X}$  and whose interior is the generic fiber  $X_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_i$ . Thus, the characteristic of the log structure of  $\mathcal{X}_i^{\log}$  at  $x_i$  determines — by tensoring the groupification of the characteristic with  $\mathbb{R}$  — a 2-dimensional real vector space, whose *dual* we denote by  $M_i$ . Thus,  $M_i$  is equipped with a natural *positive rational structure*  $P_i$  [i.e., a submonoid isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  that generates  $M_i$  as a real vector space]. [Put another way,  $M_i$  is the sort of real vector space that appears in discussions of *toric varieties*.] The natural morphism  $\mathcal{X}_{i+1}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i^{\log}$  induces an  $\mathbb{R}$ -*linear map of vector spaces*  $M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i$  of *rank*  $\geq 1$  that maps  $\overline{P}_{i+1}$  into  $P_i$ . Write  $\overline{P}_i \subseteq M_i$  for the closure of  $P_i$  in  $M_i$ . Let us refer to as a  $\overline{P}$ -*ray* of  $M_i$  a ray of  $M_i$  emanating from the origin that is contained in  $\overline{P}_i$ . Now it follows immediately from the *compactness* of the space of  $\overline{P}$ -rays of  $M_i$  that [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume that there exists a *compatible system*  $\{\lambda_i\}$  of  $\overline{P}$ -rays of the  $M_i$  which are, moreover, *fixed* by the action of  $\text{Im}(s)$ . Suppose that [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] each  $\lambda_i$  is *rational* [i.e., generated by an element of  $P_i$ ]. Then  $\lambda_i$  corresponds to an *irreducible component*  $v_i$  of a suitable blow-up of  $\mathcal{X}_i$  at  $e_i$ ; one may construct these blow-ups so that  $v_{i+1}$  maps into  $v_i$ . If [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] each  $v_{i+1}$  maps *quasi-finitely* to  $v_i$ , then the system of valuations associated to the  $v_i$  already yields a system of valuations as desired. Thus, [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume without loss of generality that  $v_{i+1}$  maps to a *closed point*  $x_i$  of  $v_i$ ; moreover, it follows immediately from the fact that the  $\lambda_i$  form a compatible system that each

$x_i$  is a *smooth point*. Thus, one may construct either a system of *closed points*  $\xi_i$  of  $X_i$  or a system of “*limit valuations*  $\text{ord}_\infty(-)$ ” as in (1); this yields a system of valuations as desired. This completes the proof in the case where the  $\lambda_i$  are rational. Thus, [after possibly passing to a cofinal subsystem of the given system of coverings] we may assume without loss of generality that each  $\lambda_i$  is *irrational*. But then it is well-known that each  $\lambda_i$  determines a valuation on  $K_i$ ; the compatibility of these valuations as one varies  $i$  follows immediately from the compatibility of the  $\lambda_i$ . Thus, one obtains a system of valuations as desired.

(3) The present note benefited from discussions with Fumiharu Kato in November 2010.

### Bibliography

- [CbSC] S. Mochizuki, *Comments on a Combinatorial Version of the Section Conjecture and the Main Theorem of Pop-Stix*, manuscript dated March 3, 2011.
- [PS] F. Pop and J. Stix, *Arithmetic in the fundamental group of a  $p$ -adic curve — On the  $p$ -adic section conjecture for curves*, preprint, Philadelphia-Heidelberg-Cambridge, August 2010.
- [Tama] A. Tamagawa, Resolution of nonsingularities of families of curves, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40** (2004), pp. 1291-1336.